

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2014) KLR VOL 1 PART 339 pp. 1 - 240**

**JANUARY 2014**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Abacha v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 13
2. Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75
3. Egbirika v. State p. 123
4. Mfa v. Inongha p. 155
5. Omosaye v. State p. 185
6. Oredola Okeya Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce International p. 211

**JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF NIGERIA**

HON. JUSTICE ALOMA MARIAM MUKHTAR - CHIEF JUSTICE OF NIGERIA

HON. JUSTICE MAHMUD MOHAMMED

HON. JUSTICE WALTER SAMUEL NKANU ONNOGHEN

HON. JUSTICE IBRAHIM TANKO MUHAMMAD

HON. JUSTICE CHRISTOPHER M. CHUKWUMA-ENEH

HON. JUSTICE MUHAMMAD SAIFULLAH MUNTAKA-COOMASSIE

HON. JUSTICE JOHN AFOLABI FABIYI

HON. JUSTICE SULEIMAN GALADIMA

HON. JUSTICE BODE RHODES-VIVOUR

HON. JUSTICE NWALI SYLVESTER NGWUTA

HON. JUSTICE MARY UKAEGO PETER-ODILI

HON. JUSTICE OLUKAYODE ARIWOOLA

HON. JUSTICE MUSA DATIJO MUHAMMAD

HON. JUSTICE CLARA BATA OGUNBIYI

HON. JUSTICE KUMAI BAYANG AKA'AH

HON. JUSTICE MOTONMORI OLATOKUNBO KEKERE-EKUN

HON. JUSTICE JOHN INYANG OKORO

**JUSTICES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL**

THE HON. JUSTICE ZAINAB ADAMU BULKACHUWA

- ACTING PRESIDENT OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

**LAGOS DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE AMINA ADAMU AUGIE

THE HON. JUSTICE IBRAHIM MOHAMMED SAULAWA

THE HON. JUSTICE CHIMA CENTUS NWEZE

THE HON. JUSTICE JOSEPH SHAGBAOR IKYEGH

THE HON. JUSTICE RITA NOSAKHARE PEMU

THE HON. JUSTICE CHINWE EUGENIA IYIZOBA

THE HON. JUSTICE FATIMA O. AKINBAMI

**KADUNA DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE DALHATU ADAMU

THE HON. JUSTICE ABDU ABOKI

THE HON. JUSTICE THERESA NGOLIKA ORJI-ABADUA

THE HON. JUSTICE ITA GEORGE MBABA  
THE HON. JUSTICE H. A. ABIRU

***ENUGU DIVISION***

THE HON JUSTICE ABUBAKAR JEGA ABDULKADIR  
THE HON. JUSTICE MOJEED ADEKUNLE OWOADE  
THE HON. JUSTICE ISAIAH OLUFEMI AKEJU  
THE HON. JUSTICE EMMANUEL AKOMAYE AGIM

***IBADAN DIVISION***

THE HON. JUSTICE MONICA B. DONGBAN-MENSEM  
THE HON. JUSTICE CHIDI NWAOMA UWA  
THE HON. JUSTICE ADAMU JAURO  
THE HON. JUSTICE O.O. DANIEL-KALIO

***BENIN DIVISION***

THE HON. JUSTICE HELEN MORONKEJI OGUNWUMIJU  
THE HON. JUSTICE SIDI DAUDA BAGE  
THE HON. JUSTICE AYOBODE OLUJIMI LOKULO-SODIPE  
THE HON. JUSTICE TOM SHAIBU YAKUBU

***JOS DIVISION***

THE HON. JUSTICE RAPHAEL CHIKWE AGBO  
THE HON. JUSTICE OYEBISI FOLAYEMI OMOLEYE  
THE HON. JUSTICE JUMMAI HANNATU SANKEY  
THE HON. JUSTICE PETER OLABISI IGE  
THE HON. JUSTICE IBRAHIM SHATA BOLIYA

***PORT HARCOURT DIVISION***

THE HON. JUSTICE MOHAMMED LADAN TSAMIYA  
THE HON. JUSTICE EJEMBI EKO  
THE HON. JUSTICE CHIOMA EGONDU NWOSU-IHEME  
THE HON. JUSTICE MODUPE FASANMI  
THE HON. JUSTICE JONAH ADAH

***ABUJA DIVISION***

THE HON. JUSTICE AMIRU SANUSI  
THE HON. JUSTICE ABUBAKAR DATTI YAHAYA

#### **4 JUSTICES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL**

THE HON. JUSTICE REGINA OBIAGELI NWODO

THE HON. JUSTICE MOORE A. A. ADUMEIN

THE HON. JUSTICE TINUADE AKOMOLAFE WILSON

##### **CALABAR DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE MOHAMMAD LAWAL GARBA

THE HON. JUSTICE UZO I. NDUKWE-ANYANWU

THE HON. JUSTICE JOSEPH TINE TUR

THE HON. JUSTICE O. A. OTISI

##### **ILORIN DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE PAUL ADAMU GALINJE

THE HON. JUSTICE HUSSEIN MUKHTAR

THE HON. JUSTICE OBANDE F. OGBUINYA

THE HON. JUSTICE T. ABUBAKAR

##### **OWERRI DIVISION**

HON. JUSTICE UWANI MUSA ABBA AJI

THE HON. JUSTICE PHILOMENA MBUA EKPE

THE HON. JUSTICE HARUNA MOHAMMED TSAMMANI

##### **SOKOTO DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE TIJANI ABDULLAHI

THE HON. JUSTICE AHMAD OLAREWAJU BELGORE

THE HON. JUSTICE TUNDE OYEBANJI AWOTOYE

THE HON. JUSTICE J.S. ABIRIYI

##### **YOLA DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE SOTONYE DENTON-WEST

THE HON. JUSTICE IGNATIUS IGWE AGUBE

THE HON. JUSTICE A. ALKALI ABBA

##### **EKITI DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE JIMI OLUKAYODE BADA

THE HON. JUSTICE MASSOUD ABDULRAHMAN OREDOLA

THE HON. JUSTICE UCHECHUKWU ONYEMENAM

**AKURE DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE ALI A.B. GUMEL

THE HON. JUSTICE IFEOMA JUMBO-OFO

**MAKURDI DIVISION**

THE HON. JUSTICE JAFARU MIKA'ILU

THE HON. JUSTICE ADZIRA GANA MSHELIA

THE HON. JUSTICE SAMUELCHUKWUDUMEBI OSEJI

THE HON. JUSTICE MUHAMMAD AMB1-USI DANJUMA

**INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2014) 1 KLR**

ACTIONS - Aggrieved party - Meaning - Is one whose personal rights have been adversely affected by another person's action - Or by court's decree or judgment (H7) Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Proper parties - Jurisdiction - Cause of action endorsed on writ of summons determines proper parties - And it is only when such parties are before court - That it becomes competent to adjudicate on the suit (H8) Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Absence of - Objection - On lack of required locus standi - Ought to have been raised in statement of defence - And may then be taken by court when properly moved to do so (H4) Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Affidavit evidence - Determination - Trial court rightly considered the evidence with annexures - Along with statement of claim - To be satisfied that no sufficient interest was disclosed by plaintiffs to entitle them to locus standi (H5) Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Basis - If statement of claim discloses no personal sufficient interest in subject matter of case - Plaintiff will have no locus to institute action - And court will have no jurisdiction to entertain same (H2) Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75

**ACTIONS** - Locus standi - Determination - To determine whether or not plaintiff has locus standi - Court should consider his statement of claim (H3) *Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun* p. 75

**ACTIONS** - Locus standi - Meaning of - Is the legal capacity of plaintiff to institute action in court - In exercise of plaintiff's constitutional right (H1) *Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun* p. 75

**ACTIONS** - Locus standi - Objection - Application - Proper way by which plaintiff ought to have objected - To defendants' application on lack of locus - Was that it was being brought by way of demurrer - Not having filed statement of defence and raised the point in it (H6) *Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun* p. 75

**ADJOURNMENTS** - Application - Denial of - Court rightly exercised its discretion in interest of justice by rejecting counsel's application - As there was no valid ground to grant the adjournment (H3) *Mfa v. Inongha* p. 155

**AFFIDAVITS** - Averment - Not challenged - Averment in affidavit which has not been categorically denied - Is deemed to be admitted by the opponent (H5) *Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int.* p. 211

**APPEALS** - Crime - Retrial - Court of Appeal - Powers of - The court being a creature of statute - Is empowered by s. 19(2) of its Act - To order acquittal or retrial of appellant - If it allows appeal against conviction (H2) *Omosaye v. State* p. 185

**APPEALS** - Crime - Retrial - Validity of - By the justice of this cases - Lower Court rightly ordered a retrial - Notwithstanding that appellant's trial had been declared a nullity (H3) *Omosaye v. State* p. 185

**APPEALS** - Grounds - Issues - Proliferation - Court frowns at proliferation of issues - As it is proliferation to raise more than one issue from one ground - And to raise six grounds each complaining of breach of fair hearing - All culminating in single issue (H8) *Mfa v.*

Inongha p. 155

APPEALS - Grounds - Particulars of - Where particulars of a ground are inconsistent with main complaint in the ground - The particulars must be discountenanced (H1) Egbirika v. State p. 123

APPEALS - Issues - Proliferation of - Is frowned upon by appellate courts - As an issue may be distilled from more than one ground - But it is improper to formulate more than one issue from a ground (H2) Egbirika v. State p. 123

BANKING - Legal entity - Dissolution - Proof - Applicant ought to aver in his affidavits that AIB has been dissolved - And producing document that has terminated the legal existence of the bank (H7) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

BANKING - Liquidation & Dissolution - Difference - The former may precede or follow the latter - Which is the end of legal existence of a corporation - Thus mere revocation of banking licence - Cannot end juristic life of the bank (H2) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

COMPANY LAW - Company - Winding up - Involves the liquidation of company - So that assets are distributed to those entitled to receive them (H1) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

COMPANY LAW - Company - Winding up - Mode of - Three modes of winding up are by court - Voluntarily - Or subject to the supervision of the court (H3) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

COMPANY LAW - Liquidator - Action - Locus standi - By CAMA s. 425 - Liquidator in a winding up by court can bring and defend action - Subject to sanction of the court or committee of inspection (H6) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

COURTS - Technicality - Appellants' counsel merely relied on techni-

## **8 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2014) 1 KLR**

cality in his contention - That their case was not closed by trial court - As the proceedings showed that appellants' case was deemed closed (H2) Mfa v. Inongha p. 155

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Commencement - Validity - Decree No. 53 of 1999 neither absolved appellant from prosecution - Nor amounted to Executive promise not to prosecute - Persons listed in the schedule to the decree (H4) Abacha v. FRN p. 13

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Institution - Powers of AG Federation - Charges filed against appellant were in compliance with AG's powers under the Constitution s. 174(3) - To carry out public prosecution in the interest of justice (H5) Abacha v. FRN p. 13

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Institution of - Immunity - Limit - Immunity enjoyed by appellant's father while in office is not available to him - Hence appellant is liable to face his trial at trial court (H8) Abacha v. FRN p. 13

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Jurisdiction - Allegations contained in the 123 counts charge against appellant - Cannot be determined by CA or SC - But by the trial court before which proof of evidence had been filed (H6) Abacha v. FRN p. 13

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Right to counsel - Failure to assign legal practitioner to appellant - Constitutes fundamental breach of CPA s. 352 - Which requires the provision of counsel where appellant could not afford one (H1) Omosaye v. State p. 185

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Nolle prosequi - Respondent can by this means give legal notice that lawsuit or prosecution has been abandoned - But this is neither acquittal nor equivalent to pardon (H7) Abacha v. FRN p. 13

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Burden of - Under EA s. 135(1) onus is on prosecution to establish his case beyond reasonable doubt - And if he discharges the onus - Burden of proving reasonable doubt shifts to accused by virtue of EA s. 135(3) (H6) Egbirika v. State p.

123

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Evidential burden - Onus may be placed on either prosecution or defence - But where burden placed on a party in respect of an issue is not discharged - The issue would be resolved against the party (H7) Egbirika v. State p. 123

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Tainted witness - Meaning - Is a witness who may or may not be an accomplice - But who by evidence he gives - May be regarded as having some purpose of his own to serve (H4) Egbirika v. State p. 123

DOCUMENTS - Public document - Proof - By Evidence Act s. 112 - Certified copies of such documents may be produced in proof of its contents - Or parts of the documents which they purport to be copies (H4) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

EVIDENCE - Prosecution witness - Testimony of - Admissibility - The courts rightly relied on testimony of PW 1 - Which was unshaken under cross exam - As there is no sufficient ground to allege that he is tainted witness (H5) Egbirika v. State p. 123

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - Court is required to create opportunity for party to present his case - But party who fails to utilize same - Cannot accuse court of denying him fair trial (H6) Mfa v. Inongha p. 155

FAIR HEARING - Entitlement to - Rights of both parties to fair hearing must be balanced - Just as appellants have right to fair hearing - Respondent is also entitled to have his case determined within reasonable time (H7) Mfa v. Inongha p. 155

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Appearance - Presumption of competence - When counsel announces appearance whether or not as holding brief - He is presumed to have full briefing and authority to do the case (H4) Mfa v. Inongha p. 155

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Duty - Scope of - It is not duty of appel-

## **10 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2014) 1 KLR**

lants' counsel to champion the cause for respondent - It is respondent's counsel who should complain of denial of opportunity to address court - On behalf of his client (H1) *Mfa v. Inongha* p. 155

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Non appearance - Contempt of court - Continuous absence of counsel in a case he is handling - Amounts to obstruction of cause of justice - And therefore contempt of court (H5) *Mfa v. Inongha* p. 155

MANSLAUGHTER - Proof - Direct evidence - Testimony of PW1 as to what he saw and heard during the incident - And appellant's extra judicial statement - Point to the fact that deceased died as a result of gunshot wound inflicted by appellant (H8) *Egbirika v. State* p. 123

MANSLAUGHTER - Proof - *Shosimbo v. State* - It is not necessary to prove any intent to kill or do grievous bodily harm - Provided there is proof that unlawful act of accused - Caused some harm to deceased - Which harm caused his death (H3) *Egbirika v. State* p. 123

STATUTES - Decree No. 53 of 1999 - Person aggrieved - By instituting the criminal proceedings - Respondent cannot be described as person aggrieved under the decree - As its legal right was not invaded by the forfeiture order (H3) *Abacha v. FRN* p. 13

STATUTES - Forfeiture - Decree No. 53 of 1999 - Appellant whose properties were confiscated pursuant to the decree has no action to take - As to be indemnified in respect of dealings with the properties forfeited (H2) *Abacha v. FRN* p. 13

STATUTES - Interpretation - Principle - Where provisions of statute are clear and unambiguous - Court is to give the provisions their ordinary interpretation without more (H1) *Abacha v. FRN* p. 13

Companies & Allied Matters Act Cap C20 LFN 2004, s. 425 Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), s. 36(6)(c) Omosaye v. State p. 185

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 174, 295(2), 308 Abacha v. FRN p. 13

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 33(2), 36(1)(4)(5) Egbirika v. State p. 123

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 36(1), 294(1) Mfa v. Inongha p. 155

Court of Appeal Act, s. 19(2) Omosaye v. State p. 185

Criminal Code Cap 30 Vol. II Laws of Ondo State 1978, ss. 316 (6), 319(1) Omosaye v. State p. 185

Criminal Code Law Cap 29 Laws of Ogun State of Nigeria 1978, ss. 24, 235, 315, 316, 317 Egbirika v. State p. 123

Criminal Procedure Act, s. 352 Omosaye v. State p. 185

Cross River State High Court Rules, O. 37 r. 22 Mfa v. Inongha p. 155

Evidence Act 2011 (as amended), s. 135(1)(3) Egbirika v. State p. 123

Evidence Act, s. 137 Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

Forfeiture of Assets Decree No. 53 of 1999, ss. 2, 5, 6 Abacha v. FRN p. 13

Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation Act 2006, s. 40 Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211

Obas & Chiefs of Lagos State Law Cap 138 of 1994, ss. 16(1)(a)(b), 17 Bakare v. Ajose-Adeogun p. 75

Penal Code, ss. 97(i), 317, 319 Abacha v. FRN p. 13

Supreme Court Rules, O. 2 r. 28(1); O. 8 rr. 9(1), (2), (11)(12) Oredola Trading Co. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce Int. p. 211